NEUROCAP®

The ANIMAL study strongly underlines its effective mode of action in creating a full barrier with the surrounding tissues, preventing axonal sprouting and avoiding the formation of end-neuroma. 3, 6 and 12 months post implantation histology underlines NEUROCAP®'s action as effective barrier for unwanted nerve outgrowth.

Objective	A randomized controlled study (N=42 animals) to assess the implantation effects of the NEUROCAP® device in a rat sciatic nerve model after 12 weeks, 6 months, and 12 months
Participating centers	• VA Portland (US) - Dr. Peterson
Endpoints	Procedural data, Adverse events, Clinical observations, Histopathology
Observations and final conclusions	 No adverse procedural observations, clinical observations, or other adverse events that attributed to the use of NEUROCAP® throughout the duration of the study. Chaotic fascicles score increased in controls and decreased in the NEUROCAP® group over 12-mo Inflammation score remained low in controls and decreased in NEUROCAP® group to 0.0 at 12-mo Nerve outgrowth score significantly higher in control group compared to NEUROCAP® group No neuromas in NEUROCAP® group at any time point (wrt control group: 20% at 3-mo; 38% at 6-mo; 100% at 12-mo) NEUROCAP® treated nerves seem to become more organized with absence of neuroma formation (in contrast to the control nerves) Publication in preparation





NEUROCAP® istological evaluation at 3, 6 and 12 months after implantation 0x total magnification



At 3 months, the device blocks sprouting by acting as physical and mechanical barrier



At 6 months, NEUROCAP treated nerve-end stump shows organized fibers



At 12 months, NEUROCAP treated nerve-end is organized and atrophied without neuroma

Histological evaluation at 3, 6 and 12 months after implantation



0x total magnification

Control with formation of a neuroma

Control with well-formed neuroma

Control with well-formed neuroma

NEUROCAP® is available in a diameter range of 1,5 - 8 mm.

Polyganics - Rozenburglaan 15A, 9727 DL Groningen, The Netherlands T +31 (0)50 588 65 88 - F +31 (0)50 588 65 99 - info@polyganics.com - www.polyganics.com

The information presented in this brochure is intended to inform and demonstrate the product. Always refer to the package insert, product label and/or user instructions before using this product NEUROCAP[®] is a registered trademark of and manufactured by Polyganics, The Netherlands.

NEUROCAP®

Peripheral Nerve Capping Device

Evidence Based Performance

TRANSFORMING PATIENT RECOVERY

www.polyganics.com

NEUROCAP®

A UNIQUE DEDICATED DEVICE FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF END-NEUROMAS

NEUROCAP® SAFE AND EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT OF SYMPTOMATIC NERVE-END NEUROMA

Neuromas are a highly disabling pathology

Symptomatic neuroma may develop after a nerve dissection following any trauma to a peripheral nerve, whether accidental or planned (i.e. surgery). Neuroma-induced neuropathic pain and morbidity seriously affect the patient's daily life and socioeconomic functioning. The incidence of symptomatic neuromas after peripheral nerve injury is estimated to be 3-5%, however certain surgeries (e.g. autograft procedures, amputations) may have up to a 30% incidence rate. On average, patients are undergoing 2.8 re-interventions after the initial treatment of a neuroma. Following treatment of a neuroma, 86% of patients experience none to minimal improvement

NEUROCAP® design is straightforward, simple and effective

NEUROCAP[®] is intended to protect a peripheral nerve end and to separate the nerve from surrounding environment to reduce the development of a symptomatic end-neuroma. NEUROCAP® is a transparent tubular device with one open end and one closed end. Dislocation of the nerve stump is prevented by suturing the nerve end into the cap. A hole at the sealed end of the tube allows easy fixation of the nerve stump with a suture to the surrounding tissue. This allows an effective capping technique without the necessity of excessive manipulation or sacrificing other tissue. Currently, there is no gold standard for neuroma treatment; burying of the nerve stump is the most common procedure

NEUROCAP[®]'s unique features support important (clinical) needs:

- Made of inert and biodegradable lactide and caprolactone copolymers with an excellent safety track record of implementation in other medical devices
- Transparent and simple design for easy handling and optimal nerve positioning during the procedure
- Controlled mechanical strength and flexibility prevent (a) axonal sprouting (b) adhesion of the nerve-ends into muscle and scar tissue
- Predictable bioresorbability to support a sustainable encapsulation of the nerve stump
- · Long-term pre- and clinical follow-up data (12 months) indicate an effective barrier function and considerable and lasting pain reduction in treated patients

NEUROCAP® is the only clinically proven nerve capping device

A strong body of evidence supports the effectiveness of NEUROCAP[®].

- Pivotal clinical data of the STOP Neuroma trial (one-year clinical patient follow-up) confirms safety and performance of NEUROCAP[®] application in the treatment of symptomatic end neuroma in peripheral nerves (publication in progress).
- The PROTECT Neuro study, a multicenter post-market clinical follow-up study in both the US and Europe, is designed to strengthen and expand the clinical value of NEUROCAP® in the upper and lower extremities over a 2-year period of patient monitoring. Patient enrollment of this PMS study successfully concluded in summer 2018.
- The modes of action of the device, creating a full barrier with the surrounding tissues, preventing axonal sprouting and avoiding the formation of end-neuromas, is clearly demonstrated in a rat sciatic nerve model and is currently prepared for publication.

erficial Radial Nerve neuroma (courtesy: Dr M. Bertleff, The Netherlands)

NEUROCAP® Product Application

STOP Neuroma Trial: this pivotal study reveals reduction of symptomatic pain over a year clinical follow up

	-		
Objective	A cohort study with a 12-month clinic NEUROCAP [®] for the treatment of sym		
Participating centers	 Medical center Lelystad / MC Gro University Medical Center Gronin Maastricht University Medical Ce 		
Endpoints	 Primary endpoint safety (6 wk FU) Demonstrate device safety, defined a Primary endpoints effectiveness (6 wf) Reduction of pain caused by symptod Improvement of quality of life Reduction or stabilization of quantity Secondary endpoints (3, 6, 12 mo FU) < 8.3% serious adverse device effect Reduction of pain caused by symptod Improvement of quality of life < 8.3% serious adverse device effect Reduction of pain caused by symptod Improvement of quality of life ≤ 20% recurrence of symptomatic models Reduction of quantity/class of pain models 		
Timelines	 Enrollment concluded: March-2017 Last patient, last visit (12-month follo Final data: May/June-2018 (presente 		

Patient (gender-age)	Neuroma	NEUROCAP® size	Pre-op VAS (0-100 mm)	+ 6 wks VAS (0-100 mm)	+ 3 mths VAS (0-100 mm)	+ 6 mths VAS (0-100 mm)	+ 12 mths VAS (0-100 mm)
F27	SRN neuroma	2,5 mm	81	1	1	1	1
F66	SRN neuroma	3,0 mm	93	9	25	30	8
F42	Dorsal branch ulnar nerve and SRN	2,5 mm	79	6	1	3	6
F25*	Dorsal branch ulnar nerve	2,0 mm	64	1	1	72	60
F21	SRN neuroma	2,5 mm	80	26	27	27	30
M59**	SRN neuroma	3,0 mm	9	30	30	14	62
F41***	Radial nerve	3,0 mm	78	13	12	72	21
F33	SRN neuroma	1,5 mm	80	1	9	1	1
F37	Median nerve	1,5 mm	78	1	0	1	1
M41****	Sens. branch median nerve	1,5 mm	91	85	72	NA	NA
MEDIAN (range)	-	-	79 (9-93)	8 (1-85)	11 (0-72)	14 (1-72)	8 (1-62)

Non-device related AE at 6 months after external trauma (hit on operational site). Surgically treated between 6- and 12-month follow-up. ** Uncertainty on first measurement, validation needed. However recurrent neuroma

*** Patient indicates variable pain rates, sometimes spontaneous and sometimes when carrying heavy load. Pain is much less frequent than before surgery **** SAE after external trauma (bumped on table corner); severe seroma formation at operational site. Re-operated and Neurocap® removed; study

exit after removal

NEUROCAP®

EVIDENCE BASED DATA TO STRENGHTEN AND BROADEN THE CLINICAL VALUE

al follow up (N=10) to assess safety and performance of nptomatic end-neuroma (NL); M. Bertleff, MD, PhD en (NL); T. Middelberg, MD, PhD er (NL); T. van Mulken, MD

as < 8.3% serious adverse device effects /k FU) omatic neuroma (VAS; Elliot; DN4)

y/class of pain medication used to treat neuroma pain

omatic neuroma (VAS; Elliot; DN4)

euroma nedication vice

ow up): March-2018

ed during FESSH-2018)

PROTECT NEURO post-market study: first preliminary results confirm the pivotal STOP Neuroma outcomes

A cohort study (N=73) to collect long-term performance data (24 months clinical follow-up) and the ease of use of NEUROCAP® for reduction of peripheral symptomatic end-neuroma formation in both upper and lower extremities • University of Pennsylvania (US), PA - Prof. dr. Levin, Principal Investigator • Buncke Clinic (US) - Dr. Buncke • VCU Richmond (US) - Dr. Isaacs • Geisinger Institute Danville (US) - Dr. Klena • Thomas Jefferson Philadelphia (US) - Dr. Culp				
Objective the ease of use of NEUROCAP® for reduction of peripheral symptomatic end-neuroma formation in both upper and lower extremities • University of Pennsylvania (US), PA - Prof. dr. Levin, Principal Investigator • Buncke Clinic (US) - Dr. Buncke • VCU Richmond (US) - Dr. Isaacs • Geisinger Institute Danville (US) - Dr. Klena • Thomas Jefferson Philadelphia (US) - Dr. Culp		A conort study ($N=13$) to collect long-term performance data (24 months clinical follow-up) and		
 in both upper and lower extremities University of Pennsylvania (US), PA - Prof. dr. Levin, Principal Investigator Buncke Clinic (US) - Dr. Buncke VCU Richmond (US) - Dr. Isaacs Geisinger Institute Danville (US) - Dr. Klena Thomas Jefferson Philadelphia (US) - Dr. Culp 	Objective	the ease of use of NEUROCAP [®] for reduction of peripheral symptomatic end-neuroma formation		
 University of Pennsylvania (US), PA - Prof. dr. Levin, Principal Investigator Buncke Clinic (US) - Dr. Buncke VCU Richmond (US) - Dr. Isaacs Geisinger Institute Danville (US) - Dr. Klena Thomas Jefferson Philadelphia (US) - Dr. Culp 		in both upper and lower extremities		
 Buncke Clinic (US) - Dr. Buncke VCU Richmond (US) - Dr. Isaacs Geisinger Institute Danville (US) - Dr. Klena Thomas Jefferson Philadelphia (US) - Dr. Culp 		University of Pennsylvania (US), PA - Prof. dr. Levin, Principal Investigator		
 VCU Richmond (US) - Dr. Isaacs Geisinger Institute Danville (US) - Dr. Klena Thomas Jefferson Philadelphia (US) - Dr. Culp 		Buncke Clinic (US) - Dr. Buncke		
 Geisinger Institute Danville (US) - Dr. Klena Thomas Jefferson Philadelphia (US) - Dr. Culp 		VCU Richmond (US) - Dr. Isaacs		
Thomas Jefferson Philadelphia (US) - Dr. Culp		• Geisinger Institute Danville (US) - Dr. Klena		
		• Thomas Jefferson Philadelphia (US) - Dr. Culp		
Peachtree Clinic Atlanta (US) - Dr. McClelland		Peachtree Clinic Atlanta (US) - Dr. McClelland		
Stanford University (US) - Dr. Curtin		• Stanford University (US) - Dr. Curtin		
• VA Portland (US) - Dr. Layman		• VA Portland (US) - Dr. Layman		
Arizona Hand Center (US) - Dr. Champagne	Participating centers	• Arizona Hand Center (US) - Dr. Champagne		
• University Lund (SV) - Prof. Dr. Dahlin		• University Lund (SV) - Prof. Dr. Dahlin		
• Birmingham Hand Center (UK) - Dr. Power		• Birmingham Hand Center (UK) - Dr. Power		
Centro di Mano Milano (IT) - Prof. Dr. Pajardi		• Centro di Mano Milano (IT) - Prof. Dr. Pajardi		
CFCM Paris (FR) - Dr. Houvet		• CFCM Paris (FR) - Dr. Houvet		
 University Linköping (SV) - Dr. Nyman 		• University Linköping (SV) - Dr. Nyman		
• University Göteburg (SV) - Dr. Sassu		• University Göteburg (SV) - Dr. Sassu		
 Parc Sanitari de Joan de Deu (ES) – Dr. Aparicio 		• Parc Sanitari de Joan de Deu (ES) – Dr. Aparicio		
• BG Trauma Center Frankfurt am Main (GR) – Prof. Dr. Sauerbier		• BG Trauma Center Frankfurt am Main (GR) – Prof. Dr. Sauerbier		
• Institut de la main de la Clinique Jeanne d'Arc (FR) – Dr. Loubersac / Dr. Gaisne		• Institut de la main de la Clinique Jeanne d'Arc (FR) – Dr. Loubersac / Dr. Gaisne		
Primary endpoint (3, 6, 12, 24 mo FU)		Primary endpoint (3, 6, 12, 24 mo FU)		
Reduction of pain caused by symptomatic neuroma (VAS)		Reduction of pain caused by symptomatic neuroma (VAS)		
Secondary endpoints (3,6,12 mo FU)		Secondary endpoints (3,6,12 mo FU)		
Elliot Neuroma score	Endersiste	Elliot Neuroma score		
Rate of recurrence of painful neuroma	Endpoints	Rate of recurrence of painful neuroma		
Pain medication use		Pain medication use		
Level of disability (QuickDASH/Goals)		Level of disability (QuickDASH/Goals)		
Ease of use and physician satisfaction		Ease of use and physician satisfaction		
Patient enrollment concluded in July-2018		Patient enrollment concluded in July-2018		
Timelines • Last patient, last follow up: expected July-2020	Timelines	Last patient, last follow up: expected July-2020		
• Final data: available during Q3-2020		• Final data: available during Q3-2020		

Variable	Screening Mean ± SD (N = 73)	+3 mo FU Mean ± SD (N = 49)	+6 mo FU Mean ± SD (N = 34)	+12 mo FU Mean ± SD (N = 10)
VAS	70.6 ± 17.8	18.1 ± 21.7	26.5 ± 27.7	25.7 ± 23.0
Quick DASH*	56.0 ± 20.8	30.0 ± 23.7	26.7 ± 24.7	39.8 ± 31.0
Goals**	10.6 ± 2.7	4.9 ± 3.9	4.3 ± 3.9	6.5 ± 5.4
Elliot	12.5 ± 3.7	5.2 ± 4.3	6.2 ± 5.4	6.6 ± 5.1

* QuickDASH Screening: n = 48; 3-months: n = 33; 6-months: n = 22; 12-months: n = 4

** Goals Screening: n = 63; 3-months: n = 44; 6-months: n = 33; 12-months: n = 10